**Appendix II– Rubrics and checklist for Part 2 Milestone 3 review: SW Review (to be done off-line by Anthony one day after Part 1 review)**

**Section: 01 Team: 01 Date: 11/16/2018**

**Instructor to Check and comment below:**

* Git/Github organization (e.g. organization of branches)

Organization looks good. Branches looked to be well defined. Not sure if there is a branch designated for testing or maybe everything is pushed to master for testing. Maybe the staging branches are for this.

* Git/Github usage: Comments on positing; Number of posting to github; Appr. even distribution of submissions among team members (check github post stats for all members)

Commit messages look good. I would avoid the tempting ‘asdf’ comment as best as you can.
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* Code documented (header, in code) with good coding style

Little to no comments, none that I could find.

* MVC/OO patterns followed up

Looks to be followed

* Frameworks (back end front end) deployed correctly

Deployed correctly,

* Database organization (tables, naming…)

NO ACCESS TO HEROKU APP

* Blobs being used? If so, is it working?

NO ACCESS TO HEROKU APP

* Adherence to best practices of security (PW encrypted, search inputs verified etc.)

Passwords are not encrypted from seeing the PDF sent to Dr. Petkovic for online M3 review.

NO ACCESS TO HEROKU APP

* Efficiency (proper use of image thumbnails, efficient search eytc.)

Looks fine.

* Other

**Instructor will send e-mail with comments to team lead. Team is supposed to meet, analyze the feedback and revise as necessary.**